

Decontamination of pork carcasses with hot water or acidified sodium chlorite - a comparison in two Australian abattoirs

Hamilton D*, Holds, G., Lorimer M., Kidd C., Smith G., Slade J., Mattei V., Madigan T., Pointon A.

South Australian Research and Development Institute, Food Safety Research, Adelaide, Australia

*Hamilton.david@saugov.sa.gov.au

Abstract

A decontamination trial on the effectiveness of hot water and acidified sodium chlorite (SANOVA™) treatment on TVC, *E.coli* and *Salmonella* spp. was undertaken on a total of 852 pork carcasses prior to primary chilling in two pork abattoirs in Australia using belly-strip excision sampling. Test pigs were selected from herds with a known high level of on-farm *Salmonella* infection. For control carcasses at Abattoirs A and B, mean log₁₀ Total Viable Count was 4.06 and 3.00 cfu/gram compared with 1.81 and 2.09 cfu/gram, for hot water and 2.76 and 2.53 cfu/gram for SANOVA™ treated carcasses, respectively. The prevalence of *E. coli* on control carcasses at Abattoirs A and B was 92.9% and 69.3% compared with 9.8% and 21.3% for hot water and 12.5% and 30% for SANOVA™ treated carcasses, respectively. The censored mean log₁₀ *E. coli* concentrations for control carcasses at abattoirs A and B was 0.89 and 0.46 cfu/gram, compared with -0.83 and -0.65 cfu/gram from hot water and -0.64 and -0.61 cfu/gram from SANOVA™ treated carcasses, respectively. *Salmonella* was only isolated from carcasses at Abattoir B. The prevalence of *Salmonella* on control carcasses at Abattoir B was 16% compared with 2.7% for hot water and 7.0% for SANOVA™ treated carcasses. The reductions in prevalence and mean log₁₀ concentrations in the present trial were all found to be statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) and indicate that carcass decontamination with either hot water or SANOVA™ are effective risk management options immediately available to the pork industry.

Introduction

In industrialised countries between 5 and 30% of all cases of foodborne *Salmonellosis* are estimated to have pork as the actual source (Berends et al, 1997). Historically, the focus of pork industries has been on *S. Typhimurium*, the most significant serovar in human infection (Mousing et al 1997a; van der Wolf, 2007, Hurd et al 2005; Rostagno et al 2003). However, in Australia *S. Typhimurium* is considerably less evident at slaughter representing only 5% of the isolates from the 1.9% *Salmonella*-positive carcasses identified by national monitoring between 2000 and 2006 (Hamilton et al 2007a).

The direct relationship between *Salmonella* carriage rate in the slaughter pig population and carcass contamination has long been accepted internationally and forms the basis of the European (Danish) *Salmonella* monitoring and control programme (Mousing et al 1997b). In line with that view in 1997 Australia developed and validated a *Salmonella* ELISA for slaughter pig surveillance and on-farm control (van der Heijden 2001). Ongoing research since that time, however, has shifted the Australian focus to controls further along the pork supply chain as a more effective approach. This change in direction has been mirrored by international questioning of the cost effectiveness of on-farm control in the live animal, particularly at relatively low *Salmonella* prevalence (Miller 2005, Goldbach & Alban 2006).

Against this background we conducted a study at 2 Australian pig abattoirs to compare two decontamination processes on baconer pig carcasses: hot water and acidified sodium chlorite (SANOVA™). The results of the trial are presented in these proceedings.

Materials and Methods

To maximise the potential for carcass *Salmonella* contamination, trial pigs were selected from herds identified as high prevalence by on-farm faecal sampling and were processed late in the slaughter shift. At each abattoir, trial pigs were slaughtered over three days, with a maximum of 150 carcasses sampled daily

at the end of the slaughter chain. In total, 852 carcasses were sampled by excising and stomaching belly strips, a technique shown to increase the frequency of the *Salmonella* isolation from carcasses by a factor of up to 7 (Swanenburg et al 2003; Hamilton et al 2007b). On each sampling day the trial carcasses were subjected to one of three alternative treatments: up to 50 carcasses standard hygienic slaughter (Controls); up to 50 carcasses standard hygienic slaughter plus a final rinse with hot water (83.5°C at Abattoir A, 81.9°C at Abattoir B); up to 50 carcasses standard hygienic slaughter plus a final rinse with SANOVA™ sanitising solution (ECOLAB Inc) at ambient temperature. SANOVA™ is a mixture of citric acid and sodium chlorite, which produces the microbiologically active chlorous acid. It is commonly used in the poultry industry (Oyarzabal et al 2004). The order of treatments was rotated on each day in a 3 x 3 Latin-square arrangement. Both hot water and SANOVA™ were applied for approximately 15 seconds per carcass, with hot water being applied as a continuous cascade and SANOVA™ as a pressurised spray.

Laboratory Methods: Each belly strip was collected into a sterile stomacher bag on the slaughter floor. Samples were chilled at 4°C until testing. At the lab the belly strip was weighed and an equal amount of Buffered Peptone Water (Oxoid CM509) added. The belly strip was then stomached for 60 seconds. A 1 mL aliquot was taken to estimate *E. coli* and TVC and the remainder processed for *Salmonella*.

Salmonella: Culture methods followed the Australian Standard (AS 5013.10-2004) with enrichment in Rappaport-Vassiliadis Soy broth (RVS) and Muller-Kauffmann tetrathionate/novobycin broth (MKTn) and plating on Xylose Lysine Desoxycholate (XLD) and Brilliant Green (BGA) agar plates. Confirmation was by latex agglutination using Serobact™ *Salmonella*. Colonies that were latex agglutination negative were checked by biochemistry (MICROBACT™ 12E). Isolates presumptively identified as *Salmonella* were forwarded for serotyping to the Australian *Salmonella* Reference Laboratory at the Institute of Medical and Veterinary Science, Adelaide.

E. coli and Total Viable Count: From the 1 mL aliquot taken immediately after stomaching, as appropriate, 1:10 serial dilutions of the BPW suspension were prepared in 0.1% peptone diluent and 1 mL from each dilution inoculated onto either Aerobic Plant Count Petrifilm (3M) or *E. coli* Petrifilm (3M) and incubated at 48 h ± 3 h at 35°C ± 1°C. Colonies were identified and counted according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical Analysis

Each abattoir was considered for analysis separately. Fisher's Exact Test was used to test for differences in the prevalence of *Salmonella* and the prevalence of *E. coli* between the three treatments. Data for log₁₀ TVC per gram were analysed by analysis of variance to test for mean differences between the three treatments. In this analysis, positive samples greater than the upper limit of detection (> 250,000 cfu/g) or less than the lower limit of detection (<10 cfu/g) were assumed to be equal to the limit of detection. A Tobit (censored) regression was performed for log₁₀ *E. coli* per gram. This technique allows for the censored nature of the data, allowing the inclusion all observations, including those samples where *E. coli* was undetected, leading to more realistic comparisons between the treatments (Lorimer & Kiermeier, 2007). All analyses were performed in R 2.5.1 (R Development Core Team, 2006).

Results and Discussion

Salmonella: At Abattoir A, no *Salmonella* spp. were isolated from any carcass even though the pigs were sourced from a contaminated herd. At Abattoir B, both the hot water and SANOVA™ treated carcasses had a significantly lower prevalence of *Salmonella* contamination (2.7% and 7% respectively) compared to the control (16%, p<0.001). The prevalence of *Salmonella* was not significantly different (p=0.12) between the hot water and SANOVA™ treatments. For hot water treatment this represents an 83% reduction.

E.coli and TVC: At both Abattoir A and B the *E.coli* prevalence and the mean log TVC and *E.coli* count were significantly reduced by both the hot water and SANOVA™ treatments compared to the control (p<0.001). The greatest impact on *E.coli* and TVC was at Abattoir A with hot water treatment. For *E.coli*

there was an 83% reduction in prevalence and a 1.72 reduction in mean log count. For TVC there was a 2.25 reduction in mean log count. Abattoir A had the highest control levels of *E.coli* and TVC.

Table 1. Effect of hot water and SANOVA™ treatments on the microbiological status of pork carcasses (n= adjusted number tested and connected to new footnote)

		Control	Hot Water	SANOVA	p-value
Abattoir A	Mean log ₁₀ TVC ^a	4.06 (0.42)	1.81 (0.55)	2.76 (0.53)	<0.0001
	<i>E. coli</i> prevalence	39/42 (92.9%)	4/41 (9.8%)	5/40 (12.5%)	<0.0001
	Mean log ₁₀ <i>E. coli</i> ^b	0.89 (0.11)	-0.83 (0.23)	-0.64 (0.11)	<0.001
	<i>Salmonella</i> prevalence	0/50	0/50	0/50	n/a
Abattoir B	Mean log ₁₀ TVC ^a	3.00 (0.40)	2.09 (0.77)	2.53 (0.56)	<0.001
	<i>E. coli</i> prevalence	104/150 (69.3%)	32/150 (21.3%)	30/100 (30%)	<0.001
	Mean log ₁₀ <i>E. coli</i> ^b	0.46 (0.08)	-0.65 (0.11)	-0.61 (0.13)	0.007
	<i>Salmonella</i> prevalence	24/150 (16%)	4/150 (2.7%)	7/100 (7%)	<0.001

^a Standard deviation in parenthesis

^b Adjusted mean and standard deviation using censored data

Problems with the transport of samples the 2,000 km from abattoir A to the laboratory on the first 2 days meant that they fell outside the 24 hour time parameter and were not included in the analysis

Organoleptic observations

Hot water: Immediately following the 15-second treatment with hot water, exposed muscle on the carcasses had a grey “cooked” appearance, particularly the leg, sternum and neck. Within half an hour they had begun to recover some of their bloom and by the next morning the carcasses were almost indistinguishable from untreated carcasses. At Abattoir A the treated carcasses were judged by the company to be acceptable for export. At Abattoir B there was initial unsought feedback from a retailer that the carcasses “appeared a bit different” and required some superficial trimming. Subsequent reduction of the treatment temperature apparently resolved the issue.

SANOVA™: Treatment “whitened” both the skin and the fat, but this was judged by the company to be a potentially positive ascetic improvement, particularly for Asian export markets.

Conclusion

Hot water and chemical decontamination provide effective tools currently available to the Australian pig industry that can significantly improve the microbiological status of pig carcasses. The economics of their use requires further investigation.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the funding support from Australian Pork Limited (APL Projects 2019 and 2132) for this work and the collaboration of the staff at the two participating abattoirs.

References

- Berends, B.R., van Knapen, F., Snijders, J.M.A., Mossel, D.A.A. 1997. Identification and quantification of risk factors regarding *Salmonella* spp. on pork carcasses. *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 36, 199-206.
- Goldbach, S.G. & Alban, L. (2006) A cost-benefit analysis of *Salmonella*-control strategies in Danish pork production. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine*, 77, 1-14.
- Hamilton, D.R., Smith, P., Pointon, A. 2007a. National *Salmonella* and *E. coli* Monitoring (ESAM) data from Australian pig carcasses from 2000 to 2006, *Proceedings 7th International Symposium on the Epidemiology & Control of Foodborne Pathogens in Pork*, Verona, Italy. 129-132.
- Hamilton, D.R., Holds, G., Kiermeier, A., Pointon, A.M. 2007b. Evaluation of the relative sensitivity of carcass swabbing against belly strip excision for TVC, *E. coli* and *Salmonella* isolation, *Proceedings 7th International Symposium on the Epidemiology & Control of Foodborne Pathogens in Pork*, Verona, Italy. 371-375.

- Hurd, H.S., Gailey, J.K., McKean, J.D., Griffith, R.W. 2005. Variable abattoir conditions affect *Salmonella enterica* prevalence and meat quality in swine and pork. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease* 2, 77-81.
- Lorimer, M.F., Kiermeier, A. 2007. Analysing microbiological data: Tobit or not Tobit? *International Journal of Food Microbiology* 116, 313-318.
- Miller, G.Y., Liu, X., McNamara, P.E., Barber, D.A. 2005. Influence of *Salmonella* in pigs preharvest and during pork processing on human health costs and risks from pork. *Journal of Food Protection* 68, 1788-1798.
- Mousing, J., Kyrval, J., Jensen, T.K., Aalback, B., Buttenschon, J., Svensmark, B., Willeberg, P. 1997a. Meat safety consequences of implementing visual post-mortem meat inspection procedures in Danish slaughter pigs. *The Veterinary Record* 140, 472-477.
- Mousing, J., Thode Jensen, P., Halgaard, C., Bager, F., Feld, N., Nielsen, B., Nielsen, J.P., Bech-Nielsen, S. 1997b. Nation-wide *Salmonella enterica* surveillance and control in Danish slaughter swine herds. *Preventive Veterinary Medicine* 29, 247-261.
- Oyarzabal, O.A., Hawk, C., Bilgili, S.F., Warf, C.C., Kemp, G.K. 2004. Effects of postchill application of acidified sodium chlorite to control *Campylobacter* spp. and *Escherichia coli* on commercial broiler carcasses. *Journal of Food Protection* 67, 2288-2291.
- R Development Core Team. 2006. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R Foundation for Statistical Computing. <http://www.r-project.org/>, Vienna, Austria.
- Rostagno, M.H., Hurd, H.S., McKean, J.D., Ziemer, C.J., Gailey, J.K., Leite, R.C. 2003. Preslaughter holding environment in pork plants is highly contaminated with *Salmonella enterica*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 69, 4489-4494.
- Swanenburg, M., van der Wolf, P.J., Urlings, H.A.P., Snijders, J.M.A. 2003. Comparison of an excision and a sponge sampling method for measuring *Salmonella* contamination of pig carcasses, *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on the Epidemiology and Control of Foodborne Pathogens in Pork*, Crete. 255-257.
- van der Heijden HMJF (2001) First international ring trial of ELISAs for *Salmonella*-antibody detection in swine. In: *Proceedings of the 4th International Symposium on the Epidemiology and Control of Salmonella and other food borne pathogens in Pork*, Salinork Leipzig, 2-5 September 2001 pp 481-491.
- van der Wolf, P.J. 2007. *Salmonella* (sero)types and their resistance patterns isolated from pig faecal and post-mortem samples in 2000-2003, *Proceedings 7th International Symposium on the Epidemiology and Control of Foodborne Pathogens in Pork*, Verona, Italy. 389-393.